Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

| Subject of assessment: | Designation of Lower Ormesby Beck Local Wildlife Site | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Coverage: | Service Specific | | | | | |
| This is a decision relating to: | Strategy | Policy | Service | | | |
| | Process/procedure | Programme | 🛛 Project | Review | | |
| | Organisational change Other (please state) | | | | | |
| It is a: | New approach: | | Revision of an existing approach | | | |
| It is driven by: | Legislation: | | Local or corporate requirements: | | | |
| Description: | Key aims, objectives and activities To propose to council Exec the designation of a new Local Wildlife Site for the Lower Ormesby Beck Nature Reserve Statutory drivers (set out exact reference) Protection of biodiverse habitat under NERC Act 2016 Differences from any previous approach Local interest and representation by the Tees Valley Nature Partnership Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate) Residents of Middlesbrough, TVNP, Wildlife Trust and the Environment Agency. Intended outcomes. Making Middlesbrough a greener and more biodiverse place. | | | | | |
| Live date: | October 2020 | | | | | |
| Lifespan: | Continuous | | | | | |
| Date of next review: | Only required if change in legislation or Government Strategy | | | | | |

| Screening questions | Response | | | - Evidence | | |
|---|----------|-----|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | Yes | Uncertain | | | |
| Human Rights | | | | The proposal will not impact on human rights. | | |
| Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation? [*] | | | | Evidence to support this is that residents will still receive a weekly collection of waste (refuse or recycling). | | |
| Equality Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?* | | | | The proposal will not have any adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals. The service will still provide assisted collections for those residents that require assistance. | | |
| Community cohesion Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?* | | | | Not directly relevant to decision. There are no concerns the proposals could impact on community cohesion. The proposal will ensure the Council's approach to provision of services continues to be sustainable. | | |
| Next steps: ⇒ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed. | | | | | | |

➡ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed.

| Assessment completed by: | Simon Blenkinsop | Head of Service: | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Date: | 9 th October 2020 | Date: | |

^{*} Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.